



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_18726
Title of the Manuscript:	Enteric Viruses Association With Diarrhea in Young Children 0-24 Months in an Urban Secondary Health Center in Benin City, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract section Line 9: Background and aim instead of Introduction Line 13: were analyzed and not and were analyzed Line 23,25: there was no need for respectively Introduction section: Line 37: These four major families cause and not These four major families all cause Line 38: regardless the level of hygiene and not regardless of level of hygiene Line 47,48: The previous situation makes it difficult to collect data and not This situation further compound data collation Results section: Line 76: (P=0.806) (Table) and not (P=0.806).(Table) Line 78: 7.1% (Table 3) and not 7.1%.(Table3) Line 81: there was no need for respectively - Table (1) check the total number of positive patients and the percentage of norovirus - Table (4) the font should be Times New Roman Discussion section: Line 89, 90: . and not .. Line 98: with prevalence rate of 73.2% and not with 73.2% Line 102: total prevalence of 30.8% and not total of 30.8% References section Line 130: Pp 907 and not Pp907 Line 137: Szucs, G and not Szucs G Line 140,141: Fiorentin, S. and Caruso, A. and not Fiorentin S. and Caruso A.	Abstract section: Line9; This has been corrected. Line13: This has been corrected. Line 23,25: It has been corrected. Introduction section: Line37: It has been corrected. Line38: It has been corrected. Line47,48: Corrected Results section: Line76; Corrected. Line78: Corrected. Line81: Corrected. Table(1) has been corrected. Table(4) has been effected. Discussion section; Line 89,90; Corrected. Line 98: Corrected. Line102: Corrected. References section: Line 130; Corrected. Line 137; Corrected. Line 140,141: Corrected. Line 142: Corrected.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 142: New Microbiol should be italic Line 143: Steele, A.D. and not Steele A.D. Line 153: Steele, I. and not Steele I. Line 188: 2010: 2(7) and not 2010: 2(7) Line 198: Morrow, A.L., and Rangel, J.M. and not Morrow, AL., and Rangel, JM. Line 199: <i>Semin. Pediatr. Infect. Dis.</i> 2004: 15 and not Semin. Pediatr. Infect. Dis. 2004. 15 Line 200: Quigley, M.A and not Quigley MA Line 202: 91(3): and not 91(3): Line 203: Heinig, M.J and not Heinig MJ Line 204: 48:105 and not 48:105 Line 206: 144:218 and not 144:218 Line 207: Weinberg, R.J and not Weinberg RJ., Line 208: 74:250 and not 74:250 - Put (dot) after the year in the references from 3 to 26 instead of (:)	Line 143: Corrected. Line 153: Corrected. Line 188: Corrected. Line 198: Corrected. Line 199: Corrected. Line 200: Corrected. Line 202: Corrected. Line 203: Corrected. Line204: Corrected Line 206: Corrected. Line 207: Corrected. Line 208: Corrected. -Dot has replaced (:) from 3 to 26 of the reference section.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		